



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/904,459	07/16/2001	Gilles Guichard	99 BB CNR URE	9090	
466	7590 09/24/2003				
YOUNG &	YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER	
745 SOUTH	23RD STREET 2ND FLOO N, VA 22202	OR .	KIFLE, BRUCK		
			ART UNIT .	· PAPER NUMBER	
			1624 DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	3 17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.

09/904,459

Applicant(s)

Guichard et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.

Art Unit **1624**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
mailing If the po If NO po Failure 1 Any rep	date of this communication.	he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 9, 20		
2a) 🗌	This action is a man-	tion is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims	is/are pending in the application.	
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-9, 12-14, and 27-30		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
	Claim(s) 1-9, 12-14, and 27-30	is/are rejected.	
	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
	Claims	are publicet to restriction and/or election requirement.	
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
<i>31</i> □	The drawing(s) filed on is/al	re a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	A disease many not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11\	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner	
11)∟	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.	
4 21	- by the Eval		
12) ∐ D-i-s-i+s	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		
ω,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Application No.	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in this National Stage areau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*(See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not received.	
14)		tic priority under 35 U.S.C. 9 119(e).	
a)	The translation of the foreign language provision	onal application has been received.	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 30 0.3.0. 33 120 and/or 1211	
	ment(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:	
. વા 📗	INTOMOSTION DISCIDSUIS DISLIBITION (12) (F FO FTTO) FURDO (10(0))		

Application/Control Number: 09/904,459

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant's amendments and remarks filed 7/9/03 have been received and reviewed. Claims 1-9, 12-14 and 27-30 are now pending in this application.

Improper Markush Rejection

Claims 1-9, 12-14 and 27-30 are again rejected under a judicially created doctrine as being drawn to an improper Markush group, that is, the claims lack unity of invention. The basis of this rejection is the same as given in the previous office action and is incorporated herein fully by reference. The claims lack "a community of chemical or physical characteristics" which justify their inclusion in a common group, which inclusion is not repugnant to principles of scientific classification" In re JONES (CCPA) 74 USPQ 149 (see footnote 2). The instant claims do NOT have a significant structural feature.

Note that compounds, corresponding compositions, a method of use and a process of making that are of the same scope are considered to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1, 37 CFR 1.475(d). The claims are still not drawn to compounds, corresponding compositions, a method of use and a process of making that are of the same scope.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-9, 12-14 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The metes and bounds of the compounds embraced by the process claims is undeterminable. One skilled in the art cannot say which derivative is intended and which one is not.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,459

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 1-9, 12-14 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification is not adequately enabling for the scope of the compounds claimed. The claims are not drawn in terms of a recognized genus but are directed to a more or less artificial selection of compounds.

There is no reason why a claim drawn in this way should not be limited to those compounds which are shown to be both new and useful. An Applicant is not entitled to a claim for a large group of compounds merely on the basis of a showing that a selected few are useful and a general suggestion of a similar utility in the others."

The instant scope is enormous, in the billions of compounds, and therefore one compound within its scope is not remotely representative of such a scope. See MPEP 2164.03.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruck Kifle whose telephone number is (703) 305-4484.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

September 19, 2003

Bruck Kifle
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1624